(29)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (S) No. 3429 of 2007

PETITIONERS

1. Lachchu Ram Jain, Aged about 42 years, S/o. Shri Prem Lal Jain, Assistant Teacher, Primary School, Tahankapara, Charama, Kanker.
2. Smt. Shyam Bai Garvana, Aged about 42 years, W/o. Shri Sahdev Garvana, Assistant Teacher, Primary School, Kortara, Charama, Kanker
3. Ranu Ram Darro, Aged about 41 years, S/o. Ram Prasad Darro, Assistant Teacher, primary School Bagdongri, Charama, Kanker
4. Kartik Ram Markam, Aged about 41 years, S/o. Shri Bidesingh Markam, Assistant Teacher, Primary School, Kisanpuri, Charama, Kankar

All are at Block Charama, Distt. Kanker (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, School Education Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur, (C.G.)
2. The Director, Public Instruction, Raipur (C.G.)
3. District Education Officer, Kanker District Kanker (C.G.)
4. Block Education Officer, Charama, Distt. Kanker (C.G.)
5. Treasury & Funds Department, Kanker (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

Single Bench : Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri**

Present: Mr. D.N. Prajapati, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Arvind Dubey, Panel lawyer for the respondents/State.

80

ORDER

(Passed on 22nd day of June, 2007)

1. The question of law involved herein is asto whether the petitioners are entitled to regular pay scale w.e.f. the date of initial appointment or from the date of completion of probation period.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as for the respondents/State jointly submit that the facts and question of law involved in the present writ petition are the same to that of in W.P. (S) No. 5976/2006 (**Sushil Tiwari and others vs. State Government of Chhattisgarh and others**), which was allowed vide order dated 7.11.2006.

3. In view of the above-stated submissions made by learned counsel appearing for the parties, the writ petition is allowed in the same terms. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Karuna